NEBULA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

# Sample Outputs

What our deliverables look like, across both divisions

**WhatsApp / Direct**
+92 339 5010075
**Email**
info@nebularnd.com

**Illustrative samples, synthetic data.** All studies, projects, figures and results on this page are fictional. They were created to show format and quality only, and are not client work or real findings. Real client deliverables stay confidential under NDA.

## A Publication-ready results table

Division I · Biostatistics & Analytics / Thesis Research Support

**Brief (fictional):** a cross-sectional survey of adults in a peri-urban district (N = 412) on factors associated with hypertension. The client needed a multivariable model reported to STROBE standard.

**Table 2.** *Factors associated with hypertension among adults: multivariable logistic regression (N = 412)*

| Characteristic | aOR | 95% CI | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age group (years) | | | |
| 18–39 | 1.00 | Reference | — |
| 40–59 | 2.41 | 1.48 – 3.92 | <0.001 |
| ≥60 | 4.87 | 2.71 – 8.75 | <0.001 |
| Sex | | | |
| Female | 1.00 | Reference | — |
| Male | 1.32 | 0.86 – 2.03 | 0.21 |
| **Body mass index ≥25 kg/m² (vs <25)** | **2.08** | **1.33 – 3.25** | **0.001** |
| **Current smoker (vs non-smoker)** | **1.46** | **0.88 – 2.42** | **0.14** |
| **Low physical activity (vs moderate/high)** | **1.71** | **1.09 – 2.68** | **0.019** |
| **Adds salt at the table (vs never)** | **1.89** | **1.20 – 2.98** | **0.006** |

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Model adjusted for all variables shown. Hypertension defined as SBP ≥140 mmHg and/or DBP ≥90 mmHg, or current antihypertensive use. Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit p = 0.47; no multicollinearity (all VIF <2). Analysis in R 4.x; reproducible script supplied to client.

✓ STROBE item 16 reporting ✓ Model diagnostics stated ✓ Journal-style formatting ✓ Reproducible R script

## B LogFrame extract

Division II · MEAL Framework & LogFrame

**Brief (fictional):** a three-year community maternal and newborn health project needed a donor-ready LogFrame with SMART indicators, baselines and means of verification.

| LEVEL | RESULT STATEMENT | INDICATOR | BASELINE → TARGET (Y3) | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | ASSUMPTIONS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Impact** | Reduced neonatal mortality in target district | Neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births | 42 → 36 | Endline household survey; district vital statistics | No major disruption to health services |
| **Outcome 1** | Increased use of skilled birth attendance | % of births attended by a skilled birth attendant | 58% → 75% | DHIS2 facility data; endline survey | Facilities remain staffed and stocked |
| **Output 1.1** | Lady Health Workers trained in birth-preparedness counselling | # LHWs trained and certified (post-test ≥80%) | 0 → 240 | Training registers; pre/post-test records | LHW retention ≥85% over project period |
| **Output 1.2** | Pregnant women receive counselling visits | % registered pregnant women with ≥2 counselling visits | 22% → 70% | LHW registers; quarterly DQA spot-checks | Community acceptance of home visits |

✓ SMART indicators ✓ Baselines & targets ✓ DQA built in ✓ OECD-DAC aligned

## C Bid compliance matrix

Division II · RFQ / RFP Technical Bid

**Brief (fictional):** an NGO responding to an RFQ for a nutrition baseline survey needed every TOR requirement traced to its place in the technical proposal before submission.

| TOR REQUIREMENT | TOR REF. | WHERE ADDRESSED IN PROPOSAL | PAGE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Technical approach and survey methodology | §4.1 | Section 2: Methodology | 3–7 | COMPLIANT |
| Sample size calculation with design effect | §4.2 | Section 2.3: Sampling & sample size | 6 | COMPLIANT |
| Data quality assurance plan | §4.5 | Section 3: Quality assurance | 8–9 | COMPLIANT |
| Ethical approval and consent procedures | §4.6 | Section 3.4: Ethics | 9 | COMPLIANT |
| Workplan with Gantt chart | §5 | Annex B: Workplan | A-2 | COMPLIANT |
| Key personnel CVs (max. 3 pages each) | §6.2 | Annex C: CVs (3 × 3 pp) | C-1 | COMPLIANT |
| Technical proposal ≤15 pages | §8.1 | Whole document: 14 pages | — | COMPLIANT |

✓ Every TOR clause traced ✓ Page-limit check ✓ Reviewer-friendly ✓ Included with every bid

**Want to see a sample for your type of project?**
Tell us your study design or donor and we'll show you a matching example.
**+92 339 5010075**
info@nebularnd.com